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**Klamath Hydroelectric Settlement Agreement (Governor’s Proposal: $250 Million)**

**Estimated State Obligation:** $250 million.

**Urgency:** Removing dams is key step in river restoration effort. Proceeding with removal could sustain momentum for implementing broader solutions in Klamath Basin.

**Responsibility and Funding:** State shares responsibility with energy company, which already has secured its share of funding for the project. Governor’s proposed amount would fulfill state’s commitment and likely could fully complete dam removal.

**Major Uncertainties:** Status of overall Klamath Basin approach and commitment of other parties uncertain after Congress opted not to ratify package of Klamath agreements.

**Central Valley Project Improvement Act (Governor’s Proposal: $89.9 Million)**

**Estimated State Obligation:** Unknown.

**Urgency:** Birds and wildlife have had to manage with less than optimal water levels for many years, with situation exacerbated during drought years. Making infrastructure improvements now could help in future droughts. Pace of project implementation depends on amount of available resources.

**Responsibility and Funding:** Federal government has primary responsibility. State can also meet its obligation through in-kind contributions. Level of state contributions could influence level of federal contributions.

**Major Uncertainties:** Amount required to meet state’s current commitment has not been quantified.

**Salton Sea Restoration Act (Governor’s Proposal: $80 Million)**

**Estimated State Obligation:** Unknown, likely in the billions of dollars.

**Urgency:** Lack of action ultimately could have serious consequences for health of nearby residents (air quality) and wildlife (salinity). Conditions likely will begin to deteriorate further beginning in 2017.

**Responsibility and Funding:** State is principal responsible party for addressing restoration needs. Unclear what other funding sources might be available. Total costs likely well in excess of funding currently available.

**Major Uncertainties:** Long-term plan and associated costs for addressing issues has not yet been developed.

**San Joaquin River Restoration Settlement Act (Governor’s Proposal: $45 Million)**

**Estimated State Obligation:** $90 million (remaining from original $200 million commitment).

**Urgency:** Pace of project implementation depends on amount of available resources.

**Responsibility and Funding:** Federal government and local water agency have primary responsibility. State can also make contributions through San Joaquin River Conservancy depending on projects. Level of state contributions could influence level of federal contributions.

**Tahoe Regional Planning Compact (No Governor’s Proposal)**

**Estimated State Obligation:** Unspecified.

**Urgency:** Pace of project implementation depends on amount of available resources.

**Responsibility and Funding:** State shares responsibility with Nevada and other partners. No fixed amount of obligated funding. State can also make contributions through Tahoe Conservancy and regional water planning funds. Additional $550,000 proposed in Governor’s budget from Lake Tahoe Science and Lake Improvement Account.